arXiv:1511.01805v1 [gr-qc] 5 Nov 2015

Cosmological perturbation of Unimodular Gravity and General Relativity are identical

Abhishek Basak, Ophélia Fabre and S. Shankaranarayanan

School of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thiruvananthapuram (IISER-TVM), Trivandrum 695016, India

E-mail: abhishek@iisertvm.ac.in, ophelia.fabre@iisertvm.ac.in, shanki@iisertvm.ac.in

Abstract. Unimodular Gravity (UG) is a restricted version of General Relativity (GR) in which the determinant of the metric is a fixed function and the field equations are given by the trace-free part of the full Einstein equations. The background equations in UG and GR are identical. It was recently claimed that, the first order contribution in the temperature fluctuation of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) in UG is different from GR. In this work, we calculate the first order perturbation equations in UG and show that the Sachs-Wolfe effect in UG, in terms of gauge invariant variables, is identical to GR. We also show that the second order perturbation equation of Mukhnanov-Sasaki variable in UG, is identical to GR. The only difference comes from the gauge choices due the constraints on the metric determinant. Hence, UG and GR are identical and indistinguishable in CMB data on large scales.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Background equations **2**
- 2.1 Components of trace-free Einstein tensor 3
- 2.2 Components of trace-free energy-momentum tensor 3
- 2.3 Continuity equation 3
- 2.4 Components of trace-free Einstein equation 4

3 Perturbed metric and unimodular constraint **4**

4 First order perturbations **6**
- 4.1 Perturbed trace-free energy-momentum and Einstein tensor 6
- 4.2 Gauge invariant quantities 7
- 4.3 Evolution of first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable, $\mathcal{Q}_1$ 10
- 4.4 Curvature perturbation on uniform density hypersurfaces, ζ_1 11

5 Sachs-Wolfe effect and CMB temperature fluctuation in UG **13**
- 5.1 Colisionless Boltzmann equation 13
- 5.2 Kinetic energy-momentum tensor 14
- 5.3 Sachs-Wolfe effect 15

6 Second order perturbations **15**
- 6.1 Perturbed trace-free energy-momentum and Einstein tensor 16
- 6.2 Analysis of the second order perturbation 17
- 6.3 Second order Gauge invariant Mukhanov-Sasaki variable 19
- 6.4 Evolution equation of second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable 20

7 Conclusion and discussion **21**

8 Acknowledgements **22**

1 Introduction

The cosmological constant problem in cosmology is an unsolved issue. In the theory of General Relativity (GR), the cosmological constant is put in the Einstein-Hilbert action by hand, and is related to the vacuum energy density of all the matter fields. From observations, like Cosmic Microwave Background data [1, 2] and Supernovae surveys [3], we know that the contribution of the cosmological constant in the total energy density of the universe is non-zero. The problem is that the theoretical value of the cosmological constant is 60-120 orders of magnitude higher than the observed value [4, 5]. This leads to a very large discrepancy between theory and observation. There have been several proposals in the literature [4, 6] to solve this discrepancy. Out of these, trace-free gravity does not involve any new physics and is a particular case of General Relativity. The trace-free part of the Einstein equation naturally gives the cosmological constant which is no longer related to the vacuum energy density. Hence, the value of the cosmological constant can be fixed from observation.

The trace-free part of Einstein equation can be obtained in the context of Unimodular Gravity (UG) [7, 8]. In UG, the determinant of the metric ($\sqrt{-g}$) is a fixed function[9]. Hence, the variation of $\sqrt{-g}$ with respect to the metric is zero. The variation of Einstein-Hilbert action,

$$S_{E-H} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{M_P^2}{2}R + \mathcal{L}_m\right], \tag{1.1}$$

subjected to the constraint on $\sqrt{-g}$, leads to the trace-free part of the Einstein equation,

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1.2}$$

In the Einstein-Hilbert action, $M_P^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G}$ is the reduced Planck mass, R is the Ricci scalar and $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the matter counterpart of the action. $\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}$ are the trace-free part of the Einstein tensor and energy-momentum tensor respectively,

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R, \qquad \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}T., \tag{1.3}$$

where T is the trace of the full energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$.

In Refs. [10, 11], it has been shown that, in UG, the information of potential enters the acceleration equation through the energy conservation equation. Hence, like in GR, one can study inflationary scenario in UG. Several authors have studied cosmological implications of UG theory [12, 13]. In Refs. [14–16] the authors have applied UG theory in inflation and dark energy models.

In FLRW background [10, 11], there are no differences in the equations of motion in UG and GR. Quantum theory of UG has been investigate by several authors [17, 18]. In Refs. [19, 20] it has been shown that the quantum theory of UG and GR can be different.

However, in Ref. [21], the authors claimed that, the expression of first order contribution in the temperature fluctuation of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) in UG is different from GR. The additional term in UG would be a dipole term whose amplitude is expected to be small. In this work we explicitly show that the expression of first order perturbation counterpart in CMB temperature fluctuations in UG is identical to that in GR. Furthermore, we explicitly calculate the second order perturbation in UG and show that the second order equations of motion are identical in UG and GR.

In Section 2, we introduce the background equations in the UG context. Then, in Section 3, we present the perturbed metric and the unimodular constraint. In Section 4, we express the first order perturbations and define the gauge invariant quantities, before focusing on the implications of UG on the Sachs-Wolfe contribution in the CMB temperature anisotropies in Section 5. The study is then extended in Section 6 to second order perturbations. The equation of motion obtained for first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable is the same as in GR, whereas the equation of motion obtained with second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable is also same as GR for particular gauge choices. As a consequence, in the light of perturbation theories, UG and GR are same. Finally, in Section 7, we discuss the results about the differences between GR and UG in the light of the problems due to gauge choices and conclude.

2 Background equations

In UG, $\sqrt{-g}$ is a fixed function. As a consequence, one can take FLRW metric as a background metric. Then the fixed function associated with the determinant of the metric is a

function of the scale factor ($a(t)$). In this Section, we consider the FLRW metric described by the following line element in the cosmic time (t) and position ($\vec{x}$):

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)\, d\vec{x}^2. \tag{2.1}$$

In the following, we give the components of the background trace-free Einstein equation and compare the results with GR.

2.1 Components of trace-free Einstein tensor

The background components of the trace-free Einstein tensor (1.3) are

$$\hat{G}_{tt} = \frac{3}{2}\left(H^2 - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right), \qquad \hat{G}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}a^2\left(H^2 - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\delta_{ij}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter and the 'dot' denotes the derivative with respect to time. 'i' is the spatial index which represents the spatial coordinates (x, y, z).

2.2 Components of trace-free energy-momentum tensor

The expression of the energy-momentum tensor for a scalar field (φ) is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi - g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_\sigma\varphi\partial^\sigma\varphi - V(\varphi)\right), \tag{2.3}$$

where ∂_μ is the partial derivative with respect to x^μ and $V(\varphi)$ is the potential. The components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$T_{tt} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi), \qquad T_{ij} = a^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 - V(\varphi)\right)\delta_{ij}. \tag{2.4}$$

Subsequently, the energy density and pressure of the scalar field are

$$\rho = T^t_t = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi), \qquad p = -T^i_i = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 - V(\varphi). \tag{2.5}$$

One can write the trace-free components of the energy-momentum tensor as

$$\hat{T}_{tt} = \frac{3}{4}\dot{\varphi}^2, \qquad \hat{T}_{ij} = \left(\frac{1}{4}a^2\dot{\varphi}^2\right)\delta_{ij}. \tag{2.6}$$

2.3 Continuity equation

As, the whole formalism is generally covariant, the usual conservation equation of the energy-momentum tensor is satisfied [4]. The conservation equation of the scalar field energy-momentum tensor is given as

$$T^\mu_{\nu;\mu} = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where ';' denotes the covariant derivative. Using (2.5) for $\nu = 0$, Eqn. (2.7) gives the energy conservation equation

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

which in terms of the scalar field (φ) gives the Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + V_{,\varphi} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where $(_{,\varphi})$ denotes partial derivative with respect to φ.

2.4 Components of trace-free Einstein equation

Using (2.2) and (2.6), the non-zero components of trace-free Einstein equation (1.2) can be written as

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - H^2 = -4\pi G\dot{\varphi}^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Here it should be noted that unlike the full Einstein equation, the trace-free Einstein equation gives us the same equation for the (t,t) and (i,j) components as (2.10). Apparently it looks like the potential does not enter the acceleration equation in case of trace-free Einstein equation. However, after multiplying both sides of (2.10) with the factor $(6H)$ and using (2.9), one gets the Friedmann equation by integrating

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi)\right) + C, \tag{2.11}$$

where C is the integration constant. Substituting H^2 from (2.11) in (2.10), the acceleration equation can be written as

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{8\pi G}{3}\dot{\varphi}^2 + \frac{8\pi G}{3}V(\varphi) + C. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that, Friedmann equation (2.11) and acceleration equation (2.12) look like the equations obtained from the full Einstein equations in GR. This is not surprising as one can write the trace-free Einstein equation (1.2) in a different way

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + g_{\mu\nu}\hat{\Lambda} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\hat{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{4}(R + 8\pi G T)$. For FLRW metric, the expression of R and T can be obtained as $R = -6\left(H^2 + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)$ and $T = -\dot{\varphi}^2 + 4V(\varphi)$ respectively. Finally using (2.10) and (2.11), from the expression of $\hat{\Lambda}$ it can be verified that $\hat{\Lambda} = -3C$. This exercise can be used as a proof that $\hat{\Lambda}$ in (2.13) is a constant in time. It is to be noted that, $\hat{\Lambda}$ is not a part of the action (1.1) and $\hat{\Lambda}$ does not depend upon the vacuum fluctuations [4].

3 Perturbed metric and unimodular constraint

In our study, we will only work with the scalar part of the metric perturbations. From this section onwards, we specify background quantities with subscript (0); subscripts (1) and (2) for first order and second order perturbations respectively. In conformal time η ($d\eta = dt/a$), the metric components up to second order can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\eta\eta} &= a^2(1 + 2\phi_1 + \phi_2), \\
g_{\eta i} &= -a^2\left(\partial_i B_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i B_2\right), \\
g_{ij} &= -a^2(\delta_{ij} + 2C_{1ij} + C_{2ij}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Consequently, the contravariant form becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\eta\eta} &= a^{-2}\left\{1 - 2\phi_1 - \left(\phi_2 - 4\phi_1^2 + \partial_i B_1 \partial_i B_1\right)\right\}, \\
g^{\eta i} &= -a^{-2}\left\{\partial_i B_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_i B_2 - 2\phi_1\partial_i B_1 - 2\partial_k B_1 C_{1ki}\right)\right\}, \\
g^{ij} &= -a^{-2}\left\{\delta_{ij} - 2C_{1ij} - (C_{2ij} - 4C_{1ik}C_{1kj} + \partial_i B_1 \partial_j B_1)\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

In the above expression C_{1ij} and C_{2ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1ij} &= -\psi_1\delta_{ij} + \partial_{ij}E_1, \\ C_{2ij} &= -\psi_2\delta_{ij} + \partial_{ij}E_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $(\phi_1, \psi_1, B_1, E_1)$ are first order quantities and $(\phi_2, \psi_2, B_2, E_2)$ are second order quantities.

A metric $(g_{\mu\nu})$ can be written in terms of background metric $(\eta_{\mu\nu})$ and perturbation $(h_{\mu\nu})$ as,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, up to second order, can be written as,

$$\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-\eta}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8}\left(\eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(h^3_{\mu\nu}\right)\right]. \tag{3.5}$$

As a consequence, the unimodular constraint, $\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}\right) = 0$, gives the following constraints on the first and second order metric quantities respectively,

$$\phi_1 - 3\psi_1 + \Delta E_1 = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_2 - 3\psi_2 + \Delta E_2\right) + \frac{3}{2}\phi_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i B_1\right)^2 + \frac{15}{2}\left(\psi_1\right)^2 + \left(\partial_{ij}E_1\right)^2 - 3\phi_1\psi_1 + \Delta E_1\left(\phi_1 - 5\psi_1\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta E_1\right)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The infinitesimal coordinate transformation (or gauge transformation), up to second order, is given by [22],

$$x^\mu \to \tilde{x}^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu, \tag{3.8}$$

where the gauge generator ξ^μ, up to second order, is $\xi^\mu = \xi^\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^\mu_2$. The components of ξ^μ in first order and second order are given as $\xi^\mu_1 = \{\alpha_1, (\beta_{1,i})\}$ and $\xi^\mu_2 = \{\alpha_2, (\beta_{2,i})\}$, respectively. The construction of gauge invariant variables corresponds to the elimination of gauge generators, which in this case are $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2$ and β_2.

Under the above coordinate transformation, an arbitrary tensor $\mathbf{Q}$ transforms as

$$\mathbf{Q} \to \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \left(1 - \mathcal{L}_\xi + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\mathcal{L}_\xi\right)\mathbf{Q}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\xi$, is the Lie derivative with respect to ξ^μ. Up to second order, $\mathbf{Q}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_0 + \delta\mathbf{Q}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta\mathbf{Q}_2. \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, transformations of the background, first order and second order of $\mathbf{Q}$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_0 &= \mathbf{Q}_0, \\ \delta\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_1 &= \delta\mathbf{Q}_1 - \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\mathbf{Q}_0, \\ \delta\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_2 &= \delta\mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\mathbf{Q}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\mathbf{Q}_0 - 2\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1}\delta\mathbf{Q}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

To derive the above expressions, we have used, $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \left(\mathcal{L}_{\xi_1} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{\xi_2}\right)$. For scalar (S), vector (V_μ) and tensor $(t_{\mu\nu})$, the Lie derivatives, on all order, are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\xi S &= \xi^\lambda S_{,\lambda}, \\ \mathcal{L}_\xi V_\mu &= \xi^\lambda V_{\mu,\lambda} + \xi^\lambda_{,\mu}V_\lambda, \\ \mathcal{L}_\xi t_{\mu\nu} &= \xi^\lambda t_{\mu\nu,\lambda} + \xi^\lambda_{,\nu}t_{\mu\lambda} + \xi^\lambda_{,\mu}t_{\nu\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The above Lie derivatives are used to obtain the transformations of scalar quantities (field fluctuation, $\delta\varphi$ and fluctuation of the energy density, $\delta\rho$) and the components of metric fluctuation, $g_{\mu\nu}$.

4 First order perturbations

In this Section, we derive the gauge invariant quantities for UG in first order perturbation.

Using the perturbed field equations for UG, we derive the equation of motion for the gauge invariant quantities. The equations of motion of the gauge invariant metric perturbations, Φ_1 and Ψ_1, have already been studied by various authors. We then compare UG with GR based on first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and curvature perturbation on uniform density hypersurfaces. We show that the equation of motion for the gauge invariant quantities are identical in UG and GR.

We then look into observational signature of UG in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). Recently, it has been claimed that the expression of the temperature anisotropies of the CMB, with regard to the Sachs-Wolfe contribution, are different in UG and GR [21]. This discrepancy in the CMB temperature anisotropies is supposed to appear as a dipole term. In contrast, we show that, in UG, the relation between the first order CMB temperature fluctuation and gauge invariant metric perturbation is identical to GR. Therefore, CMB observations can not distinguish between UG and GR.

In UG, first order perturbed field equations can be obtained from

$$\delta\hat{G}^{\mu}_{\nu(1)} = 8\pi G\delta\hat{T}^{\mu}_{\nu(1)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\delta\hat{G}^{\mu}_{\nu(1)}$ and $\delta\hat{T}^{\mu}_{\nu(1)}$ are the trace-free part of the perturbed Einstein tensor and energy-momentum tensor respectively.

4.1 Perturbed trace-free energy-momentum and Einstein tensor

Components of perturbed trace-free energy-momentum tensor —

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\hat{T}^{\eta}_{\eta(1)} &= -\frac{3}{2a^2}\left[\varphi_0'\left(\phi_1\varphi_0' - \delta\varphi_1'\right)\right], \\
\delta\hat{T}^{i}_{i(1)} &= \frac{1}{2a^2}\left[\varphi_0'\left(\phi_1\varphi_0' - \delta\varphi_1'\right)\right], \\
\delta\hat{T}^{\eta}_{i(1)} &= \frac{1}{a^2}\left(\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_1\right)_{,i}, \\
\delta\hat{T}^{i}_{j(1)} &= 0 \qquad (i \neq j),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where φ_0 is the background scalar field.

Components of perturbed trace-free Einstein tensor —

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\hat{G}^{\eta}_{\eta(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2a^2}\left[6\left(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'\right)\phi_1 + 3\mathcal{H}\psi_1' - 3\psi_1'' - 3\mathcal{H}\phi_1' - \Delta A\right], \\
\delta\hat{G}^{i}_{i(1)} &= \frac{1}{2a^2}\left[2\left(\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'\right)\phi_1 + \mathcal{H}\psi_1' - \psi_1'' - \mathcal{H}\phi_1' - \Delta B + 2\partial_{ii}D\right], \\
\delta\hat{G}^{\eta}_{i(1)} &= \frac{2}{a^2}\left(\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1\right)_{,i}, \\
\delta\hat{G}^{i}_{j(1)} &= \frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\partial_j D \qquad (i \neq j),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $A = \phi_1 + 2\psi_1 - \mathcal{H}(B_1 - E_1') + B_1' - E_1''$, $B = \phi_1 + \mathcal{H}(B_1 - E_1') + B_1' - E_1''$, $D = \phi_1 - \psi_1 + 2\mathcal{H}(B_1 - E_1') + B_1' - E_1''$ and $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ is the Hubble parameter in conformal time.

Components of perturbed trace-free Einstein equation —

From the off-diagonal (i, j) components of perturbed trace-free Einstein equation, in UG one gets

$$D = \phi_1 - \psi_1 + 2\mathcal{H}\left(B_1 - E_1'\right) + B_1' - E_1'' = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

which is identical to GR. From the (η, i) components of perturbed trace-free Einstein equation, in UG one gets

$$\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1 = 4\pi G\left(\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_1\right), \tag{4.5}$$

which is also identical to GR. On the contrary, in UG, the perturbed (η, η) component of trace-free Einstein equation gives

$$\psi_1'' + \mathcal{H}\left(\phi_1' - \psi_1'\right) + \frac{\Delta}{3}A = 8\pi G\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_1', \tag{4.6}$$

which is different compared to GR. To get the above equation we have used the following background equations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}' = 4\pi G\varphi_0'^2, \\ &\varphi_0'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' + a^2V_{,\varphi} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $(_{,\varphi})$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to the background field. In UG, (i, i) component of the perturbed trace-free Einstein equation, gives the same equation as (4.6) by summing over the 'i' indices. Therefore, we do not write it separately.

The perturbed Klein-Gordon equation in UG is

$$\delta\varphi_1'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\varphi_1' - \Delta\delta\varphi_1 + a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi}\delta\varphi_1 - \varphi_0'\left(\phi_1 + 3\psi_1\right)' + 2a^2V_{,\varphi}\phi_1 - \varphi_0'\Delta\left(B_1 - E_1'\right) = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

One can note that, similar to the background case, perturbed Klein-Gordon equation is also same as in GR.

4.2 Gauge invariant quantities

Under the gauge transformations (3.11) and (3.12), the perturbed energy density and scalar fields transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tilde{\rho}_1 &= \delta\rho_1 - \rho_0'\alpha_1, \\ \delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 &= \delta\varphi_1 - \varphi_0'\alpha_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

In the above equations, ρ_0 denotes the background energy density. The first order metric perturbations transform as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_1 &= \psi_1 + \mathcal{H}\alpha_1, \\ \tilde{\phi}_1 &= \phi_1 - \mathcal{H}\alpha_1 - \alpha_1', \\ \tilde{B}_1 &= B_1 + \alpha_1 - \beta_1', \\ \tilde{E}_1 &= E_1 - \beta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

By substituting expressions of metric perturbations (4.10) in Eq.(3.6), one can construct the unimodular constraint on the quantities α_1 and β_1 as,

$$\Delta\beta_1 + \alpha_1' + 4\mathcal{H}\alpha_1 = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

In the present case, because of the unimodular constraint, one can choose either only α_1, or only β_1, freely. Once α_1 or β_1 is fixed, the other quantity is determined by the unimodular constraint. Therefore, gauge conditions like longitudinal Newtonian gauge (*i.e.* $\tilde{B}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$) or transverse synchronous gauge (where we set, $\tilde{\phi}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{B}_1 = 0$) can not be considered in unimodular gravity [21]. This is one big difference between unimodular gravity and GR. However, there are some gauge invariant quantities which can be constructed by choosing α_1 or β_1.

Based on the above expressions, one can construct various first order gauge invariant quantities. On uniform field hypersurfaces $\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 = 0\right)$, the expression of α_1 is

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\delta\varphi_1}{\varphi_0'}. \tag{4.12}$$

Substituting α_1 in the expression of $\tilde{\psi}_1$ leads to comoving curvature perturbation (ψ_1 on uniform field hypersurfaces)

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \tilde{\psi}_1|_{\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1=0} = \psi_1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\varphi_0'}\delta\varphi_1. \tag{4.13}$$

Following a similar procedure, other gauge invariant quantities can be constructed. For example, field fluctuation on uniform curvature $\left(\tilde{\psi}_1 = 0\right)$ hypersurfaces (known as Mukhanov-Sasaki variable, $\mathcal{Q}_1$), density fluctuation on uniform curvature hypersurfaces (given as $\mathcal{M}_1$), curvature perturbation on uniform density $\left(\delta\tilde{\rho}_1 = 0\right)$ hypersurfaces (given as, ζ_1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}_1 &= \delta\tilde{\varphi}_1|_{\tilde{\psi}_1=0} = \delta\varphi_1 + \frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\psi_1, \\
\mathcal{M}_1 &= \delta\tilde{\rho}_1|_{\tilde{\psi}_1=0} = \delta\rho_1 + \frac{\rho_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\psi_1, \\
\zeta_1 &= \tilde{\psi}_1|_{\delta\tilde{\rho}_1=0} = \psi_1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\rho_0'}\delta\rho_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

It is important to notice that, in the above cases, α_1 is chosen in such a way that we can get uniform curvature, uniform density density fluctuation and uniform field fluctuation hypersurfaces. In all the cases, β_1 will be given by Eq.(4.11). But, the construction of the above gauge invariant quantities is independent of β_1. Therefore, in the observational context, unimodular gravity and GR are identical.

Construction of gauge invariant Newtonian potential (ϕ_1) and curvature (ψ_1)

As mentioned earlier, due to the additional unimodular constraint, one can not construct gauge invariant definitions ϕ_1 and ψ_1 using longitudinal Newtonian gauge (where $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{E}_1 =$

0). Let us assume that we set only one condition, for example $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$. It thus fixes $\beta_1 = E_1$, uniquely. The unimodular constraint (4.11) consequently gives us

$$\alpha_1' + 4\mathcal{H}\alpha_1 = -\Delta E_1. \tag{4.15}$$

The solution of α_1 can be expressed as

$$\alpha_1 = a^{-4} \int a^4 \left(-\Delta E_1\right) d\eta + a^{-4} d\left(\vec{x}\right), \tag{4.16}$$

where $d\left(\vec{x}\right)$ is an arbitrary spatial integration constant. Substituting in Eq.(4.10), one can construct gauge invariant definitions of curvature and Newtonian potential respectively as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\psi_1} &= \psi_1 + \mathcal{H}\left[a^{-4} \int a^4 \left(-\Delta E_1\right) d\eta + a^{-4} d\left(\vec{x}\right)\right], \\
\tilde{\phi_1} &= \phi_1 + 3\mathcal{H}\left[a^{-4} \int a^4 \left(-\Delta E_1\right) d\eta + a^{-4} d\left(\vec{x}\right)\right] + \Delta E_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Due to the presence of $d\left(\vec{x}\right)$, the above definition of ϕ and ψ can render spurious gauge modes. Hence, these definitions of gauge invariant curvature and Newtonian potential are not very useful.

However, in the case of UG one can calculate the gauge invariant Newtonian potential and curvature on the hypersurfaces where $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{E}_1'$. On this hypersurface, α_1 can be determined as

$$\alpha_1 = -\left(B_1 - E_1'\right). \tag{4.18}$$

Substituting this expression back in $\tilde{\psi_1}$ and $\tilde{\phi_1}$, one can construct the gauge invariant curvature and Newtonian potential as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_1 &= \psi_1 - \mathcal{H}\left(B_1 - E_1'\right), \\
\Phi_1 &= \phi_1 + \mathcal{H}\left(B_1 - E_1'\right) + \left(B_1 - E_1'\right)'.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Therefore, in first order perturbation, all gauge invariant quantities in GR can also be obtained for UG. The only difference between GR and UG is the choice of hypersurfaces. As in the context of UG one can only set either B_1 or E_1 equal to zero, then $\Psi_1 \neq \psi_1$ and $\Phi_1 \neq \phi_1$. Still in this case, like in GR, the relation between the gauge invariant quantities, $\Phi_1 = \Psi_1$, holds. The evolution equations of Φ_1 or Ψ_1 are also identical in UG and GR [21].

However, it is interesting to note that in UG, if one sets $B_1 = E_1' \neq 0$, one can obtain $\Phi_1 = \phi_1$ and $\Psi_1 = \psi_1$. Then, from equation (4.4) we get $\phi_1 = \psi_1$ or $\Phi_1 = \Psi_1$, which are identical to Newtonian gauge in GR. Finally, using (4.5) and (4.6) one obtains the following equation of motion for gravitational potential ϕ_1

$$\phi_1'' + 2\left(\mathcal{H} - \frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\phi_1' - \left[\Delta - 2\left(\mathcal{H}' - \mathcal{H}\frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\right]\phi_1 = 0, \tag{4.20}$$

which is also identical to the Newtonian gauge in GR. It is important to note that, to derive the equation of motion for ϕ_1, the unimodular constraint equation is not used.

4.3 Evolution of first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable, $\mathcal{Q}_1$

On the uniform curvature hypersurfaces $\left(\tilde{\psi}_1 = 0\right)$, the expression of α_1 is given by

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\psi_1}{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{4.21}$$

and the Klein-Gordon equation takes the following form,

$$\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1' - \Delta\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 + a^2 V_{,\varphi\varphi}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 - \varphi_0'\tilde{\phi}_1{}' + 2a^2 V_{,\varphi}\tilde{\phi}_1 - \varphi_0'\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1{}'\right) = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

Here, the field fluctuation on the uniform curvature hypersurfaces is defined as $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \delta\varphi_1 + \frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\psi_1 = \mathcal{Q}_1$. The unimodular constraint on this hypersurface becomes,

$$\Delta\tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_1 = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

From the $(i \neq j)$ components of the perturbed field equations (4.3), using the background equations (4.7), we get

$$\tilde{B}_1{}' - \tilde{E}_1{}'' = -2\mathcal{H}\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1{}'\right) - \tilde{\phi}_1. \tag{4.24}$$

Using (4.24), one can obtain the (η,η) component of the perturbed field equations on the same hypersurfaces

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1{}' - \mathcal{H}\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1{}'\right) = 8\pi G\varphi_0'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'. \tag{4.25}$$

Finally, the (η, i) component can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1 = 4\pi G\varphi_0'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1. \tag{4.26}$$

From (4.26) one can obtain the expression of the Newtonian potential on the uniform curvature hypersurfaces in terms of the field fluctuation $\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\right)$

$$\tilde{\phi}_1 = 4\pi G\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1. \tag{4.27}$$

Using Eqs. (4.23), (4.25) and (4.27), $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ are

$$\tilde{B}_1 = -8\pi G\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\Delta^{-1}\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'\right), \qquad \tilde{E}_1 = -4\pi G\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\Delta^{-1}\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\right). \tag{4.28}$$

Finally, using the above expressions in (4.22), one can write the evolution equation for the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable $(\mathcal{Q}_1)$ as

$$\mathcal{Q}_1'' + 2\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Q}_1' - \Delta\mathcal{Q}_1 + \left[a^2 V_{,\varphi\varphi} + 8\pi G\left\{a^2 V_{,\varphi}\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi_0'\left(\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\right)'\right\}\right]\mathcal{Q}_1 = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

Using the background equations, it can be checked that the evolution equation of $\mathcal{Q}_1$ in UG is identical to GR (see for instance Refs. [23, 24]). In the first order perturbation, one can note that the expression of $\tilde{\phi}_1$ is identical in GR and UG. On the other hand, $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ are individually different in UG. But, in UG, the linear combination $\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)$ remains same as in GR. It is interesting to note that, in perturbed Klein Gordon equation (4.22), the terms

involving $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ can be written in terms of the linear combination $\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)$. Therefore, the governing equation of $\mathcal{Q}_1$ is same in both GR and UG.

It should be noticed that the derivation of the equation of first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable does not require the unimodular constraint equation (4.23). The first order unimodular constraint is only used to find the expression of $\tilde{E}_1$ in terms of $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1$ or $\mathcal{Q}_1$.

It should also be noticed that in case of GR, setting $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$ or $\beta_1 = E_1$ is a choice. In GR, one can make a different choice for $\tilde{E}_1$ other than zero. As long as Eq. (4.25) is satisfied, equation of motion for $\mathcal{Q}_1$ remains unchanged even in GR. Thus, in GR, the equation of motion of $\mathcal{Q}_1$ (4.29) remains the same for any choice of $\tilde{E}_1$. In UG, only one particular choice of $\tilde{E}_1$ is allowed, which is given by (4.23). However this particular choice of $\tilde{E}_1$ does not have any effect on the equation of motion of $\mathcal{Q}_1$ in UG. In the next Section, we will show the equivalence between GR and UG based on first order curvature perturbation on uniform density hypersurfaces.

4.4 Curvature perturbation on uniform density hypersurfaces, ζ_1

The gauge invariant definition of the curvature perturbation in uniform density hypersurfaces is given as

$$\zeta_1 = \psi_1 + \mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\rho_1}{\rho_0'} = \psi_1 - \frac{\delta\rho_1}{3\left(\rho_0 + p_0\right)}, \tag{4.30}$$

where ρ_0 and p_0 are the background energy density and pressure density. In the last expression, we have used the conservation of energy density given by equation (2.8). In case of GR, without cosmological constant, it is known that

$$\delta\rho_1|_{GR} = \frac{1}{4\pi G a^2}\left[\Delta\psi_1 - 3\mathcal{H}\left(\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1\right)\right]. \tag{4.31}$$

In the above equation, we have used the following expression

$$\delta G^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}|_{GR} = \frac{2}{a^2}\left[\Delta\psi_1 - 3\mathcal{H}\left(\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1\right)\right]. \tag{4.32}$$

Therefore, in GR, the expression of $\zeta_1|_{GR}$ in (4.30) becomes

$$\zeta_1|_{GR} \approx \psi_1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{4\pi G a^2}\frac{\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1}{(\rho_0 + p_0)}, \tag{4.33}$$

on large scale ($\Delta \to 0$). Using (4.5), which is same in GR, and the background equations, one gets $\zeta_1|_{GR} \approx \mathcal{R}_1|_{GR}$ in large scales.

In contrast, in UG, the perturbed field equation can be written as

$$\delta G^{\mu}_{\nu(1)}|_{GR} + \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1 = 8\pi G\delta T^{\mu}_{\nu(1)}|_{UG}, \tag{4.34}$$

where $\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\delta R_1 + 8\pi G\delta T_1\right)$. Therefore, in unimodular gravity, the expression of perturbed energy density becomes

$$\delta\rho_1|_{UG} = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\left[\delta G^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}|_{GR} + \delta\hat{\Lambda}_1\right]. \tag{4.35}$$

Using equation (4.35) and the expression of $\delta\rho_1|_{UG}$, the expression of curvature perturbation in uniform-density hypersurfaces in UG can be obtained from (4.30):

$$\zeta_1|_{UG} = \psi_1 - \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{\delta G^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}|_{GR} + \delta\hat{\Lambda}_1}{3\left(\rho_0 + p_0\right)}. \tag{4.36}$$

Finally, using (4.32), the expression of curvature perturbation in uniform-density hypersurfaces on large scales is approximated by

$$\zeta_1|_{UG} \approx \psi_1 + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{4\pi G a^2}\frac{\psi_1' + \mathcal{H}\phi_1}{(\rho_0 + p_0)} - \frac{1}{8\pi G}\frac{\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1}{3\,(\rho_0 + p_0)}. \tag{4.37}$$

The evolution of curvature perturbation in large scale —
The time derivative of Eq. (4.30) gives us

$$\zeta_1' = \psi_1' - \left[\frac{\delta\rho_1}{3\,(\rho_0 + p_0)}\right]', \tag{4.38}$$

where the background continuity equation (2.8) is used. First order perturbation of the energy conservation equation on the large scale gives us [25, 26]

$$\delta\rho_1' \approx -3\mathcal{H}\,(\delta\rho_1 + \delta p_1) + 3\,(\rho_0 + p_0)\,\psi_1', \tag{4.39}$$

which eventually gives us the large scale time evolution of curvature perturbation as

$$\zeta_1' \approx \frac{\mathcal{H}}{(\rho_0 + p_0)}\left(\delta p_1 - \frac{p_0'}{\rho_0'}\delta\rho_1\right). \tag{4.40}$$

The above expression of large-scale time-evolution of the curvature perturbation (4.40) is valid in both UG and GR.

Using the continuity equation (2.8) and the Klein-Gordon equation of the scalar field (2.9), we get

$$\frac{p_0'}{\rho_0'} = -\frac{\varphi_0''}{\mathcal{H}\varphi_0'} = \delta - 1, \tag{4.41}$$

where δ is the slow-roll parameter. This gives us

$$\zeta_1' \approx \frac{\mathcal{H}}{(\rho_0 + p_0)}\left[(\delta\rho_1 + \delta p_1) - (\delta)\,\delta\rho_1\right]. \tag{4.42}$$

From equation (4.34), the following relations can be obtained for unimodular gravity

$$(\delta\rho_1 + \delta p_1)\,|_{UG} = \frac{1}{8\pi G}\left(\delta G^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}|_{GR} - \delta G^{i}_{i(1)}|_{GR}\right) = (\delta\rho_1 + \delta p_1)\,|_{GR}, \tag{4.43}$$

$$(\delta)\,\delta\rho_1|_{UG} = \frac{(\delta)}{8\pi G}\left(\delta G^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}|_{GR} + \delta\hat{\Lambda}_1\right) = (\delta)\,\delta\rho_1|_{GR} + (\delta)\,\frac{\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1}{8\pi G}, \tag{4.44}$$

where $\delta\rho_1 = \delta T^{\eta}_{\eta(1)}$ and $\delta p_1 = -\delta T^{i}_{j(1)}\delta_{ij}$. Finally, the relation between the evolution of ζ_1 in GR and UG can be expressed as

$$\zeta_1'|_{UG} = \zeta_1'|_{GR} - \frac{\mathcal{H}}{(\rho_0 + p_0)}\,(\delta)\,\frac{\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1}{8\pi G}. \tag{4.45}$$

On the super-Hubble scale, one can show that $\delta R_1 \approx \frac{48\pi G}{a^2}\,(\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' + \varphi_0'')\,\delta\varphi_1$ and $8\pi G\delta T_1 \approx -\frac{48\pi G}{a^2}\,(\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' + \varphi_0'')\,\delta\varphi_1$. Therefore, $\delta\hat{\Lambda}_1 = \delta R_1 + 8\pi G\delta T_1 = 0$. It can thus be concluded that $\zeta_1|_{UG} = \zeta_1|_{GR}$ and $\zeta_1'|_{UG} = \zeta_1'|_{GR}$.

It can also be shown that the evolution of $\mathcal{M}_1$ is same in GR and UG. As a consequence, GR and UG are identical in the first order perturbation.

5 Sachs-Wolfe effect and CMB temperature fluctuation in UG

In this Section, we derive collision-less Boltzmann equation of CMB photons at first order for super-Hubble modes to obtain the main contribution of the CMB temperature anisotropies at large scale *i.e.*, the Sachs-Wolfe effect. We follow Refs. [21, 27, 28]. For the gauge choice $E_1 = 0$ and $B_1 \neq 0$, equations (4.19) reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 &= \psi_1 - \mathcal{H}B_1, \\ \Phi_1 &= \phi_1 + \mathcal{H}B_1 + B_1^{'}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

During recombination, as more and more hydrogen atoms form and as the temperature decreases, photons no longer interact with matter. Afterwards, the CMB photons can be described as a gas of non-interacting identical particles described by kinetic equations. By solving the Boltzmann equation for freely propagating radiation, the relationship between the CMB temperature fluctuations and the gravitational potential can be found.

5.1 Colisionless Boltzmann equation

First of all, let us consider the collision-less Boltzmann equation for the photon distribution function f

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + \frac{dx^i}{d\eta}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} + \frac{dp_j}{d\eta}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_j} = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\frac{dx_i}{d\eta}$ and $\frac{dp_i}{d\eta}$ are the derivatives calculated along the geodesics. For an observer with 4-velocity u^α in an arbitrary coordinate system and a photon with 4-momentum p_α, the frequency of this photon measured by the observer is given by

$$\omega = u^\alpha p_\alpha. \tag{5.3}$$

For massless photons, $p_\alpha p^\alpha = 0$. The distribution function of the non-interacting photons is given by the function f

$$f = \frac{2}{\exp[\omega/T(\eta, x^i, l^i)] - 1}, \tag{5.4}$$

which depends on the direction l^i and on the location of the observer x^i at the moment of conformal time η. In a nearly isotropic universe, the temperature can be decomposed as

$$T(x^\alpha, l^i) = T_0(\eta) + \delta T(x^\alpha, l^i), \tag{5.5}$$

where T_0 is the average background temperature and δT temperature fluctuation. As a consequence, up to the first order in the metric perturbations, one obtains

$$p^\eta = \frac{p}{a^2}\left(1 + \psi_1 - \phi_1\right), \qquad p_\eta = p(1 + \psi_1 + \phi_1 - l^i B_{1,i}), \tag{5.6}$$

where we have introduced $p = |p| = \sqrt{(p_i^2)}$. Then, the geodesics equations are given by

$$\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} = p^\alpha, \qquad \frac{dp_\alpha}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_{\gamma\delta}}{\partial x^\alpha}p^\gamma p^\delta, \tag{5.7}$$

where λ is an affine parameter along the geodesic.

For the background, using Eqs. (5.6), (5.7) and (5.4), the Boltzmann equation (5.2) yields

$$(aT)^{'} = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

Thus, we obtain the well-known relation that in a homogeneous universe the temperature is inversely proportional to the scale factor. Then, expanding Boltzmann equation to first order in cosmological perturbations, we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} + l^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)\left(\phi_1 + \frac{\delta T}{T_0} + B_1^{'}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\left(2\Phi_1\right). \tag{5.9}$$

Like in GR, as Φ_1 is constant on large scales [21], the final expression of perturbed Boltzmann equation (5.9) gives us

$$\phi_1 + \frac{\delta T}{T_0} + B_1^{'} = \text{constant}, \tag{5.10}$$

along null geodesics. As a consequence, if we evaluate this constant today and at the time of last scattering, we deduce the following equality

$$\frac{\delta T}{T_0}|_{\text{now}} = \frac{\delta T}{T_0}|_{\text{LSS}} + \phi_1|_{\text{LSS}} + B_1^{'}|_{\text{LSS}} + \text{monopole contributions}. \tag{5.11}$$

In Ref. [21], the authors claimed that the equation (5.10) is different compared to GR, as $B_1 \neq 0$ in UG. But, we argue that, in GR setting $B_1 = 0$ is a choice which gives us $\phi_1 + \frac{\delta T}{T_0} = \text{constant}$. If, in GR we set $B_1 \neq 0$, perturbed Boltzmann equation yields the same expression as (5.10). Therefore, it can be concluded that first order perturbed Boltzmann equations are same in GR and UG. In the following we show that, even though $B_1 \neq 0$ in UG, the expression of $\frac{\delta T}{T_0}$ is identical with GR.

5.2 Kinetic energy-momentum tensor

The kinetic energy-momentum tensor, which characterizes the gas of free photons after decoupling is expressed as

$$T^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\int d^3p\frac{p^{\alpha}p^{\beta}}{p^0}f. \tag{5.12}$$

Substituting the perturbed metric into the above equation and taking a Planckian distribution, the (η,η) and the (η,i) components of the kinetic energy-momentum tensor are obtained as following

$$T^{\eta}_{\eta} = \rho_{\gamma}\left(1+\delta_{\gamma}\right) \approx \left(T_0\right)^4\int dyd^2l\left(1 + 4\frac{\delta T}{T_0} + 3l^iB_{1,i}\right)y^3f(y), \tag{5.13}$$

$$T^{i}_{\eta} \approx \left(T_0\right)^4\int dyd^2l\left[\left(1+4\psi_1\right)l^i + \left(4l^i\frac{\delta T}{T_0} + 3l^il^jB_{1,j} - B_{1,i}\right)\right]y^3f(y), \tag{5.14}$$

where $y = \frac{\omega}{T}$. The fractional radiation density perturbation is defined as $\delta_{\gamma} = \frac{\delta\rho_{\gamma}}{\rho_{\gamma}}$, where $\rho_{\gamma} = 4\pi(T_0)^4\int dy\ f(y)y^3$ is the energy density of photons after recombination and $\delta\rho_{\gamma}$ is the

energy density fluctuation of photon. We deduce from the latter equations

$$\delta_\gamma = \int \frac{d^2l}{4\pi}\left(4\frac{\delta T}{T_0} + 3l^i B_{1,i}\right), \tag{5.15}$$

$$\delta_\gamma^{'} = \int \frac{d^2l}{4\pi}\left(-4l^i\partial_i\frac{\delta T}{T_0} - 3l^i\partial_i B_{1,j}l^j + \partial_i B_{1,i}\right). \tag{5.16}$$

To obtain the expression of $\delta_\gamma^{'}$, we use the perturbed fluid equation, $T^i_{\eta,i} = (4\psi_1 - \delta_\gamma)^{'}\rho_\gamma$. For further details, one can check Refs. [21, 27, 28].

5.3 Sachs-Wolfe effect

The solution that satisfy both equations Eqs. (5.15) and (5.16) in Fourier space is

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T_0}\right)_k |_{\rm LSS} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\delta_\gamma\right)_k + k_j l^j \frac{3\iota}{4k^2}\left(\delta_\gamma^{'}\right)_k, \tag{5.17}$$

where ι is the imaginary square root of (-1).

It can be checked, by taking the Fourier transform of Eqs. (5.15) and (5.16) and replacing in the two integrals $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T_0}\right)_k |_{\rm LSS}$ by the expression given in (5.17), that this solution is consistent.

Eq. (5.17) has already been derived in [27, 28] in the GR setting. The solution found in [21] has an extra term: $-\frac{3}{4}k_j l^j \left(B_1\right)_k |_{\rm LSS}$. Substituting this term in (5.16), the right hand side becomes $\left(\delta_\gamma^{'}\right)_k + \iota k^2 (B_1)_k$ in Fourier modes with $B_1 \neq 0$. This is not consistent with the Fourier transform of the left hand side of (5.16), which is only $\left(\delta_\gamma^{'}\right)_k$. As a consequence, we can say that the solution found in [21] should not be taken into account. We thus state that, in comparison to GR, there is no modification of the expression of $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T_0}\right)_k |_{\rm LSS}$ in the UG case.

The remaining part of the computation can be found in [27, 28]. The final expression in real space describing the temperature fluctuations of the CMB on the last scattering surface is given by

$$\left(\frac{\delta T}{T_0}\right)|_{\rm now} = \frac{1}{3}\Phi_1|_{\rm LSS}, \tag{5.18}$$

where we can recognize the well-known Sachs-Wolfe effect which describe a plateau at large scales in the power spectrum of the CMB. We finally underline that we detect no difference between the UG and the GR Sachs-Wolfe contribution unlike [21] who claims that there is a dipole contribution on large scales. This result is supported by Ref. [29], where CMB temperature fluctuation is calculate in generic gauge.

It is possible to derive the above result for the general case $B_1 \neq 0$ and $E_1 \neq 0$. Even in the most general case, the UG constraint is only equivalent to a choice of gauge. In the most general case the Sachs-Wolfe effect is also given by (5.18). As a consequence there is no way to detect in CMB data if UG or GR is the most favoured theory.

6 Second order perturbations

The aim of this Section is to obtain the second order gauge invariant variable and its equation of motion. Similar to first order perturbation, one can obtain various gauge invariant

quantities in second order. In this work we construct second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable in UG. Then we present the comparison between GR and UG based on the second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable. To achieve this, we first give the perturbed second order field equations. Finally, using the field equations, we find the equation of motion for second order Mukhanov-Sasaki equation for UG.

In second order the trace-free part of the Einstein tensor is given as

$$\delta\hat{G}^{\mu}_{\nu(2)} = 8\pi G\delta\hat{T}^{\mu}_{\nu(2)}, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\delta\hat{G}^{\mu}_{\nu(2)}$ and $\delta\hat{T}^{\mu}_{\nu(2)}$ are the trace-free part of the perturbed Einstein tensor and energy-momentum tensor respectively.

6.1 Perturbed trace-free energy-momentum and Einstein tensor

Perturbed trace-free energy-momentum tensor —

The second order (η,η), (η,i) and (i,j) components of the trace-free Einstein equation are given as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{T}^{\eta}_{\eta(2)} =& \frac{1}{2a^2}(\frac{3}{2}\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_2' + \frac{3}{2}\delta\varphi_1'^2 - 6\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_1'\phi_1 - \frac{3}{2}\phi_2\varphi_0'^2 + 6\varphi_0'^2\phi_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}B_{1,i}B_{1,i}\varphi_0'^2 + \\ & \frac{1}{2}\delta\varphi_{1,i}\delta\varphi_{1,i} - \varphi_0'B_{1,i}\delta\varphi_{1,i}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta\hat{T}^{\eta}_{i(2)} = \frac{1}{2a^2}(\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_{2,i} + 2\delta\varphi_1'\delta\varphi_{1,i} - 4\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_{1,i}\phi_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{T}^{i}_{j(2)} =& \frac{1}{2a^2}[(-\frac{1}{2}\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_2' - \frac{1}{2}\delta\varphi_1'^2 + 2\varphi_0'\delta\varphi_1'\phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\phi_2\varphi_0'^2 - 2\varphi_0'^2\phi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}B_{1,i}B_{1,i}\varphi_0'^2 + \\ & \varphi_0'B_{1,i}\delta\varphi_{1,i} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{1,i}\varphi_{1,i})\delta_{ij} - 2\varphi_0'B_{1,i}\delta\varphi_{1,j} - 2\delta\varphi_{1,i}\delta\varphi_{1,j}].\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Here, $\delta\varphi_2$ is the second order fluctuation of the of the scalar field φ.

Perturbed trace-free Einstein tensor —

The second order (η,η), (η,i) and off-diagonal (i,j) components of trace-free Einstein tensor are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{G}^{\eta}_{\eta(2)} =& \frac{1}{a^2}(-3\mathcal{H}^2B_{1,i}B_{1,i} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{a''}{a}B_{1,i}B_{1,i} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta\left(\mathcal{H}B2\right) + \mathcal{H}B_{1,ij}E_{1,ij} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}B_{1,i}\Delta E_{1,i} + \\ & \frac{1}{4}E'_{1,ij}E'_{1,ij} - 6\mathcal{H}\phi_1\phi_1' - \mathcal{H}E_{1,ij}E'_{1,ij} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{H}\Delta E_2' + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\phi_{1,i}B_{1,i} + \phi_1\Delta\left(\mathcal{H}B_1\right) - \\ & \phi_1\Delta B_1' - \phi_1\Delta\phi_1 + \phi_1\Delta E_1'' - \phi_1\Delta\left(\mathcal{H}E_1'\right) - 3\mathcal{H}^2\phi 2 + 12\mathcal{H}^2\phi_1^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta B_1\Delta B_1 - \\ & \frac{1}{4}\Delta E_{1,j}\Delta E_{1,j} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta B_1\Delta E_1' - \frac{1}{4}B_{1,ij}B_{1,ij} + \frac{1}{4}E_{1,ijk}E_{1,ijk} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta E_1'\Delta E_1' + \\ & \frac{1}{2}B_{1,ij}E'_{1,ij} + \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}B_{1,i}B'_{1,i} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_1'\Delta B_1 - E_{1,ij}B'_{1,ij} - \frac{1}{2}B'_{1,i}\Delta E_{1,i} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta B_2' - \\ & \phi_{1,ij}E_{1,ij} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{1,i}\Delta E_{1,i} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta\phi_2 + E_{1,ij}E''_{1,ij} - \frac{1}{4}\Delta E_2'' + \frac{3}{4}\mathcal{H}\phi_2' + \frac{1}{2}\phi_1'\Delta E_1' - \\ & \frac{1}{2}\phi_{1,i}\phi_{1,i} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{a''}{a}\phi_2 - 6\frac{a''}{a}\phi_1^2),\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta\hat{G}^{\eta}_{i(2)} = \frac{1}{a^2}(-E'_{1,ij}\Delta E_{1,j} - \phi_{1,i}\Delta B_1 + E'_{1,jk}E_{1,jki} - 8\mathcal{H}\phi_1\phi_{1,i} + \mathcal{H}\phi_{2,i} - \phi_{1,j}E'_{1,ij} + \phi_{1,i}\Delta E'_1 + \phi_{1,j}B_{1,ji} + 2\mathcal{H}B_{1,j}B_{1,ji}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{G}^{i}_{j(2)} = \frac{1}{a^2}(&-2\mathcal{H}B_{1,i}\phi_{1,j} - 2\phi_1 B'_{1,ij} - \phi'_1 B_{1,ij} + \frac{1}{2}B'_{2,ij} - B'_{1,k}E_{1,ijk} - \Delta B_1 B_{1,ij} + \\ &E_{1,ijk}\Delta E_{1,k} + 4\mathcal{H}\phi_1 E'_{1,ij} + 2\phi_1 E''_{1,ij} + \phi'_1 E'_{1,ij} - \mathcal{H}E'_{2,ij} - \frac{1}{2}E''_{2,ij} - \\ &2\mathcal{H}B_{1,k}E_{1,ijk} + \Delta B_1 E'_{1,ij} - 2\phi_1\phi_{1,ij} - \phi_{1,i}\phi_{1,j} + \frac{1}{2}\phi_{2,ij} + B_{1,ik}B_{1,jk} - \\ &E_{1,ikl}E_{1,jkl} - 4\mathcal{H}\phi_1 B_{1,ij} + \mathcal{H}B_{2,ij} + B_{1,ij}\Delta E'_1 - \phi_{1,k}E_{1,ijk} - E'_{1,ij}\Delta E'_1 - \\ &B_{1,jk}E'_{1,ik} - B_{1,ik}E'_{1,jk} + 2E'_{1,ik}E'_{1,jk} - 2E_{1,ik}B'_{1,jk} + 4\mathcal{H}E_{1,ik}E'_{1,jk} + \\ &2E_{1,ik}E''_{1,jk} - 2E_{1,ik}\phi_{1,jk} - 4\mathcal{H}B_{1,jk}E_{1,ik}), \qquad (i \neq j)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

In this Section, we set $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0$. This will be useful when we use uniform curvature hypersurfaces. In the uniform curvature hypersurfaces, all the above equations can be written by replacing the variables with tilde on top of them.

6.2 Analysis of the second order perturbation

On the uniform curvature hypersurfaces, (η, i) components of the Einstein equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_{2,i} - 4\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} + 2\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_{1,j}\tilde{B}_{1,ij} + \tilde{\phi}_{1,j}\tilde{B}_{1,ij} - \tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_{1,ij}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,j} + \tilde{E}'_{1,jk}\tilde{E}_{1,jki} - \\ &\tilde{\phi}_{1,j}\tilde{E}'_{1,ij} + \tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}'_1 = 4\pi G\left(\varphi'_0\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{2,i} + 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}'_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Taking the divergence of (6.4) and then computing the trace, the above equation can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_2 = 4\pi G\varphi'_0\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2 + \left[8\pi G\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}'_1 + 2\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1^2 - \mathcal{H}\left(\tilde{B}_{1,j}\tilde{B}_{1,j}\right)\right] + \Delta^{-1}X_2, \tag{6.5}$$

where Δ^{-1} is the inverse of the Laplacian operator, and X_2 is a function of first order quantities defined as

$$\begin{aligned}X_2 = &\Delta\tilde{\phi}_1\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1\right) - \tilde{\phi}_{1,ij}\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1\right)_{,ij} - \Delta\tilde{E}'_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} - \tilde{E}'_{1,ijk}\tilde{E}_{1,ijk} - \\ &8\pi G\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}'_{1,i}\right)_{,i}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

In the above expression, the right hand side can be expressed entirely in terms of $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and its derivatives. This is identical to the expression obtained in GR. The only difference is that in GR one can set $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$. However, in case of UG, $\tilde{E}_1$ is given by equation (4.23).

On the uniform curvature hypersurfaces, the off-diagonal (i, j) components of the field equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{B}'_2 - \tilde{E}''_2) + 2\mathcal{H}(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}'_2) + \tilde{\phi}_2 = &\partial_i^{-1}\partial_j^{-1}[2(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1)_{,ij}\{\tilde{\phi}'_1 + \Delta(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1)\} + 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,j} - \\ &2(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1)_{,ik}(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}'_1)_{,jk} - 2\tilde{E}'_{1,ik}\tilde{E}'_{1,jk} + \\ &2\tilde{E}_{1,ijk}(\tilde{B}'_1 + 2\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_1 - \Delta\tilde{E}_1)_{,k} + 2\tilde{E}_{1,ikl}\tilde{E}_{1,jkl} - \\ &16\pi G\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,j}].\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Again, the right hand side of the above equation is identical to what we obtain in GR, apart from the fact that $E_1 \neq 0$ in UG. In compact notation, the above equation can be expressed as

$$(\tilde{B}_2' - \tilde{E}_2'') + 2\mathcal{H}(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}_2') + \tilde{\phi}_2 = \partial_i^{-1}\partial_j^{-1} Y_{2ij}, \tag{6.8}$$

where Y_{2ij} is the terms inside the square bracket on the right hand side of (6.7),

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{2ij} = {} & 2(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,ij}\{\tilde{\phi}_1' + \Delta(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')\} + 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,j} - 2(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,ik}(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,jk} - \\ & 2\tilde{E}_{1,ik}'\tilde{E}_{1,jk}' + 2\tilde{E}_{1,ijk}(\tilde{B}_1' + 2\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 + \tilde{\phi}_1 - \Delta\tilde{E}_1)_{,k} + 2\tilde{E}_{1,ikl}\tilde{E}_{1,jkl} - \\ & 16\pi G\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,j}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

It can be noticed that Y_{2ij} is a quadratic function of the first order quantities $\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{E}_1, \tilde{\phi}_1, \delta\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and their spatial derivatives. Therefore, Y_{2ij} can be expressed in terms of the first order field fluctuation $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1$ and its derivatives.

Finally, using (4.23), the second order unimodular constraint equation (3.7) on the uniform curvature hypersurfaces can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\phi}_2 + \Delta E_2 + 2\tilde{\phi}_1^2 - (\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}) - 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,ij}\tilde{\phi}_{1,ij} = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

Using the background and the first order equations, the (η, η) component of the perturbed field equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_2' + \Delta\{\tilde{\phi}_2 - \mathcal{H}(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}_2') + \tilde{B}_2' - \tilde{E}_2''\} - 12\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' - 2\tilde{\phi}_1'\Delta(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1') + \\ & 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}(\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 - \Delta\tilde{E}_1)_{,i} - 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} - 4\tilde{\phi}_{1,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} - 4E_{1,ij}(\tilde{B}_1' - \tilde{E}_1'')_{,ij} + 2(\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{B}_1')_{,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + \\ & 4\mathcal{H}(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} - (\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,ij}(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')_{,ij} + 2\tilde{E}_{1,ij}'\tilde{E}_{1,ij}' + \Delta(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')\Delta(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1') + \\ & 6\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' - \Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + \tilde{E}_{1,ijk}\tilde{E}_{1,ijk} = 8\pi G[3\varphi_0'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2' + 6(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'^2) + \delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i} - \\ & 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

The above equation can be written in a compact form, where all the terms involving spatial derivatives of the first order quantities are separated included in the Z_2 quantity,

$$3\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_2' + \Delta\left[\tilde{\phi}_2 - \mathcal{H}(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}_2') + \tilde{B}_2' - \tilde{E}_2''\right] - 12\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' = 8\pi G\left[3\varphi_0'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2' + 6\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'^2\right)\right] + Z_2, \tag{6.12}$$

where Z_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 = {} & 2\tilde{\phi}_1'\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right) - 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\left(\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 - \Delta\tilde{E}_1\right)_{,i} + 2\tilde{\phi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} + 4\tilde{\phi}_{1,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} - 2\tilde{E}_{1,ij}'\tilde{E}_{1,ij}' - \\ & 2\left(\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{B}_1'\right)_{,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} - 4\mathcal{H}\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)_{,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} + \left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)_{,ij}\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)_{,ij} - \\ & \Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right) - 6\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' + \Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} - \tilde{E}_{1,ijk}\tilde{E}_{1,ijk} + \\ & 4E_{1,ij}\left(\tilde{B}_1' - \tilde{E}_1''\right)_{,ij} + 8\pi G\left[\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i} - 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Using (6.8), equation (6.12) can be simplified as

$$\tilde{\phi}_2' - \Delta\left(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}_2'\right) = \frac{8\pi G}{\mathcal{H}}\left[\varphi_0'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2' + 2\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'^2\right)\right] + 4\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' + \frac{1}{3\mathcal{H}}Z_2 - \frac{1}{3\mathcal{H}}\Delta\left(\partial_i^{-1}\partial_j^{-1}Y_{2ij}\right). \tag{6.14}$$

Again, one can see that this equation is identical in GR, apart from the fact that in UG, $\tilde{E}_1$ is given by (4.23).

We will use equations (6.5), (6.8) and (6.14) to construct the equation of motion for the second order gauge invariant Mukhanov-Sasaki variable.

6.3 Second order Gauge invariant Mukhanov-Sasaki variable

Like in first order perturbation, one can express the transformations of energy density and field fluctuations in second order by using (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tilde{\rho}_2 &= \delta\rho_2 - \alpha_2\rho_0' + \alpha_1\left(\alpha_1\rho_0'' + \alpha_1'\rho_0' - 2\delta\rho_1'\right) + \beta_{1,i}\left(\alpha_1\rho_0' - 2\delta\rho_1\right)_{,i}\,,\\ \delta\tilde{\varphi}_2 &= \delta\varphi_2 - \alpha_2\varphi_0' + \alpha_1\left(\alpha_1\varphi_0'' + \alpha_1'\varphi_0' - 2\delta\varphi_1'\right) + \beta_{1,i}\left(\alpha_1\varphi_0' - 2\delta\varphi_1\right)_{,i}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

The second order curvature and Newtonian potential transform respectively as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\psi}_2 &= \psi_2 + \mathcal{H}\alpha_2 - \alpha_1\left[\mathcal{H}\alpha_1' + \left(\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2\right)\alpha_1 + 2\psi_1' + 4\mathcal{H}\psi_1\right] - \beta_{1,i}\left(\mathcal{H}\alpha_1 + 2\psi_1\right)_{,i}\,,\\ \tilde{\phi}_2 &= \phi_2 - \mathcal{H}\alpha_2 - \alpha_2' + \alpha_1\left[\alpha_1'' + 5\mathcal{H}\alpha_1' + \left(\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2\right)\alpha_1 - 4\mathcal{H}\phi_1 - 2\phi_1'\right] +\\ &\quad \alpha_1'\left(2\alpha_1' - 4\phi_1\right) + \beta_{1,i}\left(\alpha_1' + \mathcal{H}\alpha_1 - 2\phi_1\right)_{,i} + \beta_{1,i}'\left(\alpha_1 + 2B_1 - \beta_1'\right)_{,i}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

On uniform curvature hypersurfaces (where $\tilde{\psi}_2 = \tilde{\psi}_1 = 0$), we use (6.16) and we rewrite α_2 as

$$\alpha_2 = -\frac{\psi_2}{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\alpha_1}{\mathcal{H}}\left[\mathcal{H}\alpha_1' + \left(\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2\right)\alpha_1 + 2\psi 1' + 4\mathcal{H}\psi 1\right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\beta_{1,i}\left(\mathcal{H}\alpha_1 + 2\psi 1\right)_{,i}\,, \tag{6.17}$$

where α_1 is given by (4.21). We consequently get

$$\alpha_2 = -\frac{\psi_2}{\mathcal{H}} - \left[\frac{\psi_1}{\mathcal{H}^2}\left(\psi_1' + 2\mathcal{H}\psi_1\right)\right] + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\beta_{1,i}\psi_{1,i}. \tag{6.18}$$

Substituting α_1 and α_2 in (6.15), the second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable ($\mathcal{Q}_2$) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_2 = \delta\tilde{\varphi}_2|_{\tilde{\psi}_2=0} &= \left(\delta\varphi_2 + \frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\psi_2\right) + \left(\frac{\psi_1}{\mathcal{H}}\right)^2\left(\varphi_0'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' - \varphi_0'\frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}}\right) + 2\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}^2}\psi_1\psi_1' +\\ &\quad 2\frac{\psi_1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta\varphi_1' - 2\beta_{1,i}\left(\delta\varphi_1 + \frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\psi_1\right)_{,i}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

Compared to first order, second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable is complicated because of the presence of the spatial part of first order coordinate transformation β_1. In this context we make the following comments:

- It should be noticed that $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ in Eq. (6.15) only depends upon the gauge generators, α_2, α_1 and β_1. The expression of $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ is identical in both UG and GR.
- In UG, α_1 is fixed in the same way as in GR, *i.e.* $\alpha_1 = -\frac{\psi_1}{\mathcal{H}}$. As a consequence, the expression of α_2, given in (6.18) is also identical in UG and GR. Therefore, the expression of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ given in (6.19) is identical in UG and GR.
- In GR, β_1 is fixed by setting $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$, *i.e.* $\beta_1 = E_1$. In contrast, in UG, β_1 is fixed by first order unimodular constraint (4.11), *i.e.* $\beta_1 = -\Delta^{-1}\left(\alpha_1' + 4\mathcal{H}\alpha_1\right)$.
- However, as discussed earlier, it is to be noted that in GR, $\beta_1 = E_1$ or $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$ is a choice. Therefore, even in GR, the definitions of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ can be different depending upon the different choices of β_1 or $\tilde{E}_1$. In GR, if one chooses $\beta_1 = -\Delta^{-1}\left(\alpha_1' + 4\mathcal{H}\alpha_1\right)$, the expression of second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable will be exacly same as in UG.

As a consequence, in second order perturbation, only one choice of Mukhanov-Sasaki variable in GR will be same as UG. The other choices of second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variables will be different. It is interesting to note that, once α_2 is fixed by (6.18), spatial part of second order coordinate transformation β_2 will be fixed from second order unimodular constraint. As α_2 and $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ are independent of β_2, second order unimodular constraint does not have any impact on $\mathcal{Q}_2$. Second order unimodular constraint will be used in the construction of Mukhanov-Sasaki variable higher than second order. In the rest of the Section we will show that this will also be true for the evolution equation of second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable.

6.4 Evolution equation of second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable

Using the background (4.7) and first order (4.22) equations, the equation of motion of the second order field fluctuation $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ on constant curvature hypersurfaces becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2'' + 2\mathcal{H}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2' - \Delta\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2 + a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2 - \varphi_0'\tilde{\phi}_2' + 2a^2V_{,\varphi}\tilde{\phi}_2 - \varphi_0'\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_2 - \tilde{E}_2'\right) + a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi\varphi}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1^2 - \\
&2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' + 2a^2V_{,\varphi}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i} - 4\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}' + 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} + 4\varphi_0'\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)_{,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} + \\
&2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + 4\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} - 4\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i} - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} - \\
&2\tilde{\phi}_1'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1' - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right) + 4\varphi_0'\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' - 4\tilde{\phi}_1\Delta\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 + 4a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi}\tilde{\phi}_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 = 0. \qquad (6.20)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation, $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ can be replaced as $\mathcal{Q}_2$. Further, $\tilde{\phi}_2$, $\tilde{B}_2$ and $\tilde{E}_2$ can be eliminated from the equation of motion by using equations (6.5) and (6.14). Finally, equation (6.20) can be expressed in terms of the second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable ($\mathcal{Q}_2$) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{Q}_2'' + 2\mathcal{H}\mathcal{Q}_2' - \Delta\mathcal{Q}_2 + \left[a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi} + 8\pi G\left\{a^2V_{,\varphi}\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}} - \varphi_0'\left(\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\right)'\right\}\right]\mathcal{Q}_2 + 2a^2V_{,\varphi}W_2 - 2\varphi_0'W_2' + \\
&\frac{\varphi_0'}{3\mathcal{H}}Z_2 - \frac{\varphi_0'}{3\mathcal{H}}\Delta\left(\partial_i^{-1}\partial_j^{-1}Y_{2ij}\right) + U_2 = 0, \qquad (6.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2 &= \left(8\pi G\frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1' + 2\tilde{\phi}_1^2 - \tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}}\Delta^{-1}X_2\right), \\
U_2 &= 16\pi G\frac{\varphi_0'}{\mathcal{H}}\left(\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1\right)^2 + 4\varphi_0'\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' + a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi\varphi}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1^2 - \\
&\quad 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' + 2a^2V_{,\varphi}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i} - 4\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}' + 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} + 4\varphi_0'\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right)_{,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} + \\
&\quad 2\varphi_0'\tilde{B}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\Delta\tilde{E}_{1,i} + 4\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,ij}\tilde{E}_{1,ij} - 4\mathcal{H}\tilde{B}_{1,i}\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i} - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\tilde{B}_{1,i}' - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_{1,i}\tilde{\phi}_{1,i} - \\
&\quad 2\tilde{\phi}_1'\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1' - 2\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1'\Delta\left(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1'\right) + 4\varphi_0'\tilde{\phi}_1\tilde{\phi}_1' - 4\tilde{\phi}_1\Delta\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 + 4a^2V_{,\varphi\varphi}\tilde{\phi}_1\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1. \qquad (6.22)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equation X_2, Y_{2ij} and Z_2 are given by (6.6), (6.9) and (6.13) respectively.

The equation of motion of the second order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable consists of two parts, one part containing $\mathcal{Q}_2$, and the other part containing quadratic functions of first order quantities — ($\tilde{B}_1$), ($\tilde{E}_1$) and ($\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1$). As $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_1$, using (4.27) and (4.28), the perturbed second order Klein-Gordon equation (6.21) can be expressed entirely in terms of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ and a quadratic function of $\mathcal{Q}_1$.

It should be noticed that, similarly to the first order case, in the differential equation of $\delta\tilde{\varphi}_2$ (6.20), the terms $\tilde{B}_2$ and $\tilde{E}_2$ come as a linear combination of $(\tilde{B}_2-\tilde{E}_2')$. As a consequence, the derivation of equation (6.21) does not require the second order unimodular constraint given by (6.10). The second order unimodular constraint is only needed to calculate $\tilde{B}_2$ and $\tilde{E}_2$ individually, which might be useful in third order or higher order perturbation theories. However, from the expressions of U_2, W_2, X_2, Y_{2ij} and Z_2, it can be noticed that all the terms containing first order quantities $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ can not be written as a linear combination $(\tilde{B}_1 - \tilde{E}_1')$. Therefore, the final equation of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ will be dependent on $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ given in (4.28), just like the quantity $\mathcal{Q}_2$ itself. As equation (6.21) is also valid for GR, the evolution equation of $\mathcal{Q}_2$ will be same in GR and UG, provided that one only chooses $\tilde{E}_1$ as given in (4.28) in GR. Any other choices of $\tilde{E}_1$ other than (4.28) will lead to a discrepancy between GR and UG in second order.

7 Conclusion and discussion

In the background trace-free part of the Einstein equations, the information of the potential appears through the energy conservation equation. Therefore, inflation can occur in UG in the same way as in GR. In UG, the cosmological constant comes as an integration constant. Hence, it can be fixed by observations. In this work we have performed a detailed analysis of the first order and second order perturbation of UG. Using the unimodular constraints, various gauge invariant quantities have been calculated in first and second order perturbation and the results are compared with GR.

In first order perturbation it has been shown that, the gauge invariant definitions of metric perturbations Φ_1 and Ψ_1 are same as in GR. Only difference between UG and GR is the choices of the hypersurfaces. In GR, to construct Φ_1 and Ψ_1 we need choose hypersurfaces such that $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{E}_1 = 0$. On the other hand, in UG, because of the unimodular constraint, the same Φ_1 and Ψ_1 quantities can be constructed on $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{E}_1'$ hypersurfaces. In first order perturbation, we have also shown that unimodular constraint does not affect the other gauge invariant quantities such as $\mathcal{R}_1$, $\mathcal{Q}_1$, ζ_1 and $\mathcal{M}_1$. In this work, it is shown that, as first order unimodular constraint does not have any impact, the evolution equation of first order Mukhanov-Sasaki variable $\mathcal{Q}_1$ is exactly same as in GR. The evolution of the gauge invariant quantity ζ_1 is identical in GR and UG.

Because of the divergence-less and trace-less conditions, the vector and tensor modes are not affected by the unimodular constraint. Hence, the evolution of vector and tensor modes are also identical in UG and GR.

We have calculated the first order contribution in CMB temperature anisotropies on large scales in UG. In contrast to some of the earlier work[21], we do not find any dipole term in the temperature fluctuations due to UG. The expression of CMB temperature fluctuation in UG on large scales is given as $\frac{\delta T_1}{T_0} = \frac{1}{3}\Phi_1$, which is exactly same as obtained in GR. This result is also supported by [29], where CMB temperature anisotropies are calculated in generic gauge.

In second order perturbation, Mukhanov-Sasaki variable ($\mathcal{Q}_2$) is calculated in UG. Here we have shown that, in second order perturbation $\mathcal{Q}_2$ and its evolution equation are not dependent upon the second order unimodular constraint but, the first order unimodular constraint. Although, $\mathcal{Q}_2$ and its evolution are affected by the first order unimoular constraint, this does not imply any significant difference between the two theories. In GR, setting $\tilde{E}_1 = 0$ is a choice. If in GR, $\tilde{B}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_1$ are set to the expressions given in (4.28), $\mathcal{Q}_2$ and its evolution

becomes exactly same as derived in UG. This only means sacrificing flat slicing gauge in GR *i.e.*, second order 3-Ricci scalar ${}^{(3)}R_2 \neq 0$.

Therefore, in the light of our analyses of first order and second order perturbations, it can be concluded that GR and UG are equivalent. The only difference lies in the gauge choices. In UG, we have restricted gauge choices compared to GR. However, this does not affect the observations as we always relate the observables with the gauge invariant quantities. The same conclusions will still be true for perturbation at a higher order than second order.

8 Acknowledgements

We thank Kasper Peeters for computer algebra system Cadabra [30, 31] which was useful for verifying our calculations. We thank Swastik Bhattacharya and Debottam Nandi for useful discussions. The work is supported by Max Planck-India Partner Group on Gravity and Cosmology. SS is supported by Ramanujan Fellowship of DST, India.

References

[1] **Planck Collaboration** Collaboration, P. Ade *et. al.*, *Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters*, arXiv:1303.5076.

[2] **Planck** Collaboration, P. A. R. Ade *et. al.*, *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, arXiv:1502.0158.

[3] **Supernova Search Team** Collaboration, A. G. Riess *et. al.*, *Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant*, *Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009–1038, [astro-ph/9805201].

[4] S. Weinberg, *The cosmological constant problem*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **61** (Jan, 1989) 1–23.

[5] T. Padmanabhan, *Cosmological constant: The Weight of the vacuum*, *Phys. Rept.* **380** (2003) 235–320, [hep-th/0212290].

[6] J. Martin, *Everything You Always Wanted To Know About The Cosmological Constant Problem (But Were Afraid To Ask)*, *Comptes Rendus Physique* **13** (2012) 566–665, [arXiv:1205.3365].

[7] J. L. Anderson and D. Finkelstein, *Cosmological constant and fundamental length*, *Am. J. Phys.* **39** (1971) 901–904.

[8] W. G. Unruh, *A Unimodular Theory of Canonical Quantum Gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 1048.

[9] D. R. Finkelstein, A. A. Galiautdinov, and J. E. Baugh, *Unimodular relativity and cosmological constant*, *J. Math. Phys.* **42** (2001) 340–346, [gr-qc/0009099].

[10] G. F. Ellis, H. van Elst, J. Murugan, and J.-P. Uzan, *On the Trace-Free Einstein Equations as a Viable Alternative to General Relativity*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **28** (2011) 225007, [arXiv:1008.1196].

[11] G. F. R. Ellis, *The Trace-Free Einstein Equations and inflation*, *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **46** (2014) 1619, [arXiv:1306.3021].

[12] P. Jain, A. Jaiswal, P. Karmakar, G. Kashyap, and N. K. Singh, *Cosmological implications of unimodular gravity*, *JCAP* **1211** (2012) 003, [arXiv:1109.0169].

[13] I. Cho and N. K. Singh, *Unimodular Theory of Gravity and Inflation*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015), no. 13 135020, [arXiv:1412.6205].

[14] M. Shaposhnikov and D. Zenhausern, *Scale invariance, unimodular gravity and dark energy*, *Phys. Lett.* **B671** (2009) 187–192, [arXiv:0809.3395].

[15] D. Blas, M. Shaposhnikov, and D. Zenhausern, *Scale-invariant alternatives to general relativity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 044001, [arXiv:1104.1392].

[16] J. Garcia-Bellido, J. Rubio, M. Shaposhnikov, and D. Zenhausern, *Higgs-Dilaton Cosmology: From the Early to the Late Universe*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 123504, [arXiv:1107.2163].

[17] L. Smolin, *The Quantization of unimodular gravity and the cosmological constant problems*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 084003, [arXiv:0904.4841].

[18] L. Smolin, *Unimodular loop quantum gravity and the problems of time*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 044047, [arXiv:1008.1759].

[19] A. Eichhorn, *On unimodular quantum gravity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 115016, [arXiv:1301.0879].

[20] R. Bufalo, M. Oksanen, and A. Tureanu, *How unimodular gravity theories differ from general relativity at quantum level*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015), no. 10 477, [arXiv:1505.0497].

[21] C. Gao, R. H. Brandenberger, Y. Cai, and P. Chen, *Cosmological Perturbations in Unimodular Gravity*, *JCAP* **1409** (2014) 021, [arXiv:1405.1644].

[22] V. F. Mukhanov, L. R. W. Abramo, and R. H. Brandenberger, *On the Back reaction problem for gravitational perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 1624–1627, [gr-qc/9609026].

[23] K. A. Malik, *Gauge-invariant perturbations at second order: Multiple scalar fields on large scales*, *JCAP* **0511** (2005) 005, [astro-ph/0506532].

[24] K. A. Malik, *A not so short note on the Klein-Gordon equation at second order*, *JCAP* **0703** (2007) 004, [astro-ph/0610864].

[25] D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth, and A. R. Liddle, *A New approach to the evolution of cosmological perturbations on large scales*, *Phys.Rev.* **D62** (2000) 043527, [astro-ph/0003278].

[26] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, *Conserved cosmological perturbations*, *Phys.Rev.* **D68** (2003) 103515, [astro-ph/0306498].

[27] V. Mukhanov, H. Feldman, and R. Brandenberger, *Theory of cosmological perturbations*, *Physics Reports* **215** (1992) 203 – 333.

[28] V. F. Mukhanov, *CMB-slow, or how to estimate cosmological parameters by hand*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **43** (2004) 623–668, [astro-ph/0303072].

[29] P. Peter and J. Uzan, *Primordial cosmology*. Oxford graduate texts. Oxford University Press, (2009).

[30] K. Peeters, *Introducing Cadabra: A Symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems*, hep-th/0701238.

[31] K. Peeters, *A Field-theory motivated approach to symbolic computer algebra*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **176** (2007) 550–558, [cs/0608005].